

## Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit [www.landfire.gov](http://www.landfire.gov). Please direct questions to [helpdesk@landfire.gov](mailto:helpdesk@landfire.gov).

### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R5OHSA

Oak-Hickory Savanna

### General Information

**Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

#### Modelers

Ron Masters                      rmasters@ttrs.org  
Bruce Davenport                bdavenport@fs.fed.us

#### Reviewers

Doug Zollner                    dzollner@tnc.org  
Maria Melnechuk               maria\_melnechuk@tnc.org

#### Vegetation Type

Woodland

#### Dominant Species\*

QUST  
QUMA3  
SCHIZ4

#### General Model Sources

- Literature  
 Local Data  
 Expert Estimate

#### LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

36  
37

#### Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> California      | <input type="checkbox"/> Pacific Northwest        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Basin     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Central |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Lakes     | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast       | <input type="checkbox"/> S. Appalachians          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Plains | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> N-Cent.Rockies  |   |

### Geographic Range

This PNVG is located in East Texas, adjacent to and surrounding Blackland prairie from near the coast extending north to the southern extent of the Cross timbers as described by Dyksterhuis.

### Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG occurs on irregular plains of sand to sandy loam Ustalf soils. Soils are shallow to moderately deep.

### Vegetation Description

The vegetation is dominated by Post oak (*Quercus stellata*) and to a lesser extent blackjack oak (*Q. marilandica*), a minor component of hickory (*Carya* spp.) also is a constituent. In open conditions the understory and canopy openings are dominated by little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*) and various annual and perennial forbs with prevalence dictated by stand density and overstory canopy cover. In closed canopy conditions, groundcover has little to no herbaceous cover and is dominated by oak leaf litter. Other important woody plants include greenbrier (*Smilax* spp.), sumac (*Rhus* spp.) and poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*). Species that may invade include *Prosopis glandulosa* to the south and *Juniperus virginiana* and *Juniperus ashei*.

### Disturbance Description

This PNVG is in fire regime group I, with frequent surface fires, both lightning and anthropogenic in origin (Stewart 1951, 2002; Journey et al. 2004). Frequent anthropogenic fire was important for perpetuation of this type (Stewart 1951, 2002). Further, frequency approaching annual burning is cited in numerous historical references (Denevan 1992; Stewart 1963, 2002). Historic fires have been documented during all seasons (Stewart 2002, Journey et al. 2004) dependant on the availability of dry fine fuels sufficient to carry a fire and likely edaphic and microsite constraints. Bison grazing likely influenced fire patterns and thus the

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

landscape patterns. Bison and other grazing/browsing wildlife species preferentially seek out the new growth of recently burned areas affecting patch composition ( Fuhlendorf and Engle 2004). Using the fire/bison interaction model first proposed by Steuter (1986) recent modifications propose that anywhere from 1/6 to 1/3 of a 20,000 acre (8,094 hectares) landscape likely burned (Fuhlendorf and Engle 2004). Likely this was less in oak savanna. This caused earlier green-up and increased nutrient content of native grasses.

**Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This PNVG is distinct from adjacent blackland prairie that may be either to the west or east or in the adjacent eastern north to south bands bordering oak-hickory-pine.

**Scale Description**

**Sources of Scale Data**  Literature  Local Data  Expert Estimate

The landscape was a matrix of woodland and blackland prairie, with some dissection of this type by small rivers and streams. The landscape for this type is 6-8 million acres.

**Issues/Problems**

**Model Evolution and Comments**

Paul Harcomb, Rice University. David Journey, Ozark-St. Francis National Forest, Russellville, Arkansas

**Succession Classes**  
*Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).*

**Class A 15%**

Early1 All Structures

**Description**

Oak reproduction (often coppice) to 15' tall. Community of forbs and perennial grasses. More persistent on shallow soils. Openings may be small to extensive and have scattered live trees. 0-19 years of age.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
 QUMA3 Mid-Upper  
 SCHIZ4 Lower

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model** 3

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	100 %
Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Regen <5m
Tree Size Class	Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Early on dominated by tallgrasses but as coppice regeneration develops will tend towards a dense stand that shades out grasses

**Class B 2%**

Mid1 Closed

**Description**

Mid-seral with closed canopy (>60%) sapling to pole-sized oak with little or no herbaceous understory. Often coppice origin. 20-79 years of age.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
 QUMA3 Mid-Upper

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

**Fuel Model** 9

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Class C 40%**

Midl Open

**Description**

Mid-seral woodland/savanna overstory with perennial grasses. Cover <60%. 20-79 years of age.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
QUMA3 Mid-Upper  
SCHIZ4 Lower

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	20 %	60 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Fuel Model 3**

**Class D 40%**

Late1 Open

**Description**

Mid-seral woodland/savanna oak overstory with perennial grasses. Cover <60%. 80 years plus in age.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
QUMA3 Mid-Upper  
SCHIZ4 Lower

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	20 %	60 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Large 21-33"DBH	

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Fuel Model 3**

**Class E 3%**

Late1 Closed

**Description**

Late-seral, closed canopy (>60%) oak dominated overstory community. Little to no herbaceous cover and few shrubs. 80 years plus in age.

**Indicator Species\* and Canopy Position**

QUST Upper  
QUMA3 Mid-Upper

**Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)**

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

**Upper Layer Lifeform**

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

**Fuel Model 9**

**Disturbances**

**Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled**

- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other:

**Fire Regime Group: 1**

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

**Historical Fire Size (acres)**

Avg: 1000  
Min: 100  
Max: 10000

**Fire Intervals (FI):**

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

**Sources of Fire Regime Data**

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Replacement	227			0.00441	1
Mixed	2000			0.0005	0
Surface	3.2			0.3125	98
All Fires	3			0.31741	

**References**

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Dyksterhuis, E. J. 1948. The vegetation of the western cross timbers. Ecological Monographs 18:327-376.

Dyksterhuis, E. J. 1957. The savanna concept and its use. Ecology 38:435-442.

Russell, F. L., and N. L. Fowler. 1999. Rarity of oak saplings in savannas and woodlands of the eastern Edwards Plateau, Texas. Southwestern Naturalist 44:31-41.

Fuhlendorf, S. D. and D. M. Engle. 2001. Restoring heterogeneity on rangelands: ecosystem management based on evolutionary grazing patterns. BioScience 51:625-632.

Fuhlendorf, S. D. and D. M. Engle. 2004. Application of the fire-grazing interaction to restore a shifting mosaic on tallgrass prairie. Journal of Applied Ecology 41:604-614.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, and V. Bergstrom. 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern North America. Pages 95-116 in R. T. Engstrom and W. J. de Groot (eds). 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conf. Proceedings. Kanaskas, Alberta.

Russell, F. L., and N. L. Fowler. 2002. Failure of adult recruitment in Quercus buckleyi populations on the Eastern Edwards Plateau, Texas. American Midland Naturalist 148:201-217.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Streng, D. R. and P. A. Harcombe. 1982. Why don't east Texas savannas grow up to forest? The American Midland Naturalist 108:278-294.

Stewart, O. C. 1951. Burning and natural vegetation in the United States. Geographical Review 41:317-320.

Stewart, O. C. 1963. Barriers to understanding the influence of use of fire by aborigines. Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 2:117-126.

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Stewart, O. C. 2002. Forgotten fires, Native Americans and the transient wilderness. Edited by H. T. Lewis and M. K. Anderson. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman. 364p.

Tharpe, B. C. 1925. Structure of Texas vegetation east of the 98th meridian. University of Texas Bulletin 2606, University of Texas, Austin.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>.